
J. JEAN AJDLER

***Luhot Ha-Ibbur* Part I:
Rabbi Raphael Ha-Levi from Hanover's
Tables of Intercalation**

Rabbi Raphael Ha-Levi from Hanover is mainly known for his book, *Tekhnat ha-Shamayim*. Although it was published without the author's knowledge from his students' notes, it allows readers to understand the principles of ancient astronomy and explains the principles adopted by Maimonides in his Laws of Sanctifying the New Moon (*Hilkhot Kiddush ha-Hodesh*). However, Ha-Levi's masterpiece is his book *Luhot ha-Ibbur*. This book allows even non-German readers to calculate the true conjunctions and oppositions, and check the occurrence of solar and lunar eclipses. Ha-Levi's intercalation tables are calculated with the highest precision, and are the lasting evidence of his exceptional calculation skills. However, the author did not provide any explanation or justification for using his tables. Except for an initial success, which resulted in a second increased edition under the name *Yirat Shamayim* by Meir Fürth, the book was forgotten. This article explains the meaning of Ha-Levi's various intercalation tables and how they were constructed, and also discusses the tables' accuracy.

BIOGRAPHICAL BACKGROUND AND PUBLICATIONS

Raphael Ha-Levi or Raphael Hanover was born in 1685 in Weikersheim. His parents established themselves in Hanover. In his youth he studied at the Yeshiva of Frankfurt-am-Main, where he received a traditional Talmudic education. Later he worked as a bookkeeper in the banking firm of Simon Wolf Oppenheimer in Hanover, and taught himself mathematics and astronomy. Hanover caught the attention of a civil engineer called Mölling who introduced him to the famous Leibnitz.¹ Hanover became Leibnitz's devoted pupil, studying mathematics,

I thank engineer Eran Raviv who read this article and made some important remarks.

1 Leibnitz was one of the greatest scholars and philosophers of the seventeenth century (1646–1716).

J. Jean Ajdler

astronomy and natural philosophy² under his tutelage. Hanover became also Leibnitz's secretary, friend and collaborator. It seems that Hanover later made a living teaching mathematics and astronomy. Practically all his remnant works, both printed and unprinted, deal with subjects from these two fields.³

Hanover's good fame extended not only to the Jewish world in Germany and abroad, but also among the gentiles. During the King of England's 1748 visit to Hanover, Hanover proposed an invention that met with the approval of both the English Admiralty and the Royal Society. He arrived in London during April 1748, at the invitation of these bodies, to clarify certain doubts. Hanover's invention was supposed to enable an easy determination of the longitude of any position of a ship at sea.⁴

When Moses Mendelssohn stayed in Hanover in 1771 and 1777, he visited Ha-Levi. Raphael Ha-Levi, had, despite his great age, preserved his physical and mental robustness, despite many blows of fate: his wife died in 1770 and of his seven children, only one daughter had survived. Hanover wrote the following books:

1. *Sefer Tekhunat Ha-Shamayim*. Amsterdam, 1756.⁵

2 In contemporary parlance, "natural philosophy" is physics.

3 Except for Ha-Levi's manuscript in the Staatsbibliothek Berlin which deals with the calculation of the date of redemption.

4 This was the great problem of this epoch; see: *Greenwich Time and the Longitude* by Derek Howse, 1997. The name of Raphael Ha-Levi is not mentioned in this book.

5 This book was published in Amsterdam without Ha-Levi's knowledge by Moses of Tiktin who added some of his own explanations. This book is very important because it expounds Ha-Levi's understanding of *Hilkhot Kiddush ha-Hodesh*, according to ancient astronomy and Ha-Levi's important achievements which would otherwise remain unknown. Most of Baneth's achievements are already gathered in his work. [Please note that Professor Eduard (Ezekiel) Baneth, 1855-1930, was a Talmudic scholar and Rabbi graduated from Hildesheimer Rabbinic Seminary, professor at the Lehranstalt für die Wissenschaft des Judentum. He was the author of the monumental *Maimuni's Neumondsrechnung*.] Raphael Ha-Levi considered that the book was rather a textbook supporting oral teaching, but it was not ready for publication and could not be called a book. This book had nevertheless a considerable impact because the study of the chapters of *Kiddush ha-Hodesh* was still part of the curriculum of many Talmudic students. The Gaon of Vilna learned astronomy from this book (*Aliyot Eliyahu*, Levin Epstein 1954, p. 44). It served certainly, together with *Luhot ha-Ibbur*, as a reference book to the authors of subsequent books on the same subject:

- *Na'avah Kodesh* by Rabbi Simon Waltsh, Berlin 1786. The author belonged to the tradition of Rabbi Raphael Ha-Levi.
- *Kenei Middah* by Rabbi Barukh of Shklov, Prague 1784. The author belonged to the circle of the Gaon of Vilna but he studied medicine in Frankfurt-am-Oder and was certainly aware of Ha-Levi's books.

2. *Luhot ha-Ibbur* Vol. 1: Tables based on modern astronomy, Leiden, 5516.⁶
3. *Luhot ha-Ibbur* Vol. 2: Tables based on Maimonides' *Hilkhot Kiddush ha-odesh*, Hanover 5517. This book was printed a second time together with a commentary (definitions and explanations about using the tables and additional examples) in Vol. 2 about *Hilkhot Kiddush ha-Hodesh* by Meir Fürth in 1820–1821, under the name *Yirat Shamayim*, Dessau, 1820–1821.
4. Vorbericht vom Gebrauch der neuerfundnen logarithmische Wechsel-Tabellen...verfertigt und hrsg von Raphael Levi, Hannover, 1747.⁷
5. Raphael Levi: Rechnungsmethode Hrsg von Meyer Aaron Mit einer Abhandlung über die Vier Species des Rechnens mit Brüchen. Hannover, 1783.⁸
6. A table of the times of sunset and the stars' apparition throughout the year. Probably the first timetable constructed on an astronomical basis. Hanover, 1766.⁹
7. Calculus Differentialis oder Rechnung des Unendlichen des Herrn von Leibnitz. Raphael Levi, Hanover 1776 (Library of the University of Hanover).

The following various unpublished works still remain in manuscript, scattered in different European libraries:

1. Zentralbibliothek Zürich: Ms Heid. 180. This manuscript corresponds to the printed book *Luhot ha-Ibbur* I. The manuscript is dated 1752 while the printed book is dated 1756.
2. Staatsbibliothek Berlin: Manuscript N° 255, 4d. This unpublished manuscript includes only two pages; the two faces of one leaf. Its title is:
חשבון הקץ והתחיה על ידי התוכן הפלסוף אלהי וטבעי מ"ה רפאל סגל מהנובר

- *Amudei Shamayim* by Rabbi Barukh of Shklov, Berlin 1777.
- *Yirat Shamayim* by Rabbi Meir Fürth פיורדא מאיר, Dessau, 1820. This book is a commentary on *Luhot ha-Ibbur*, Vol. 2.

It is surprising that so many books were published at the same period on the subject and that suddenly so many authors understood the subject. The book *Shevilei de-Raquiah* by Rabbi Eliyahu ha-Cohen Hechim, Prague 1784, belongs to the same period but its definition of the arc of vision is incorrect. He was still influenced by the Greek definition of the *arcus visionis*.

6. *Aliyot Eliyahu*, p. 47, tells that the Gaon of Vilna showed to the visiting author of *Homot Yerushalayim* a mistake that he found in a table of *Luhot ha-Ibbur*. This was sufficient to make his authority in this matter felt.
7. This book is registered in the Royal Library of Den Haag under the number 1116 B9.
8. This book is registered in the Royal Library of Amsterdam under the number Ros 1885 G 39.
9. See appendix at the end of the article.

J. Jean Ajdler

It is a calculation of the time of the redemption based on Daniel. It was described by Steinschneider in *Verzeichnis der Hebräischen Handschriften...* Berlin Königliche Bibliothek. Berlin 1878–1897.¹⁰

3. The Jews' College, London: Ms N° 134 according to the Catalogue of Hebrew manuscripts in the Jews' College. A. Neubauer, London, 1886. This manuscript corresponds to the book *Tekhumat ha-Shamayim*. It was written in 1734, and is identical to the printed book.
4. According to A. Neubauer: Catalogue of the Hebrew manuscripts in the Bodleian Library. Oxford, 1886–1908.
 - N° 2062: ספר תכונת השמים Includes 64 folios. This manuscript, probably autographic, begins with the text of the printed edition, but it is much longer and extended.
Ox 2062 (Cat Neugebauer); Ox Mich 603; Ox Mich 847 (old n°).
 - N° 2063: חכמת התכונה Includes 45 folios. This unpublished manuscript deals with the principles of spherical astronomy.
Ox 2063 (Cat Neugebauer); Ox Mich 498; Ox Mich 301 (old n°).
Engineer Eran Raviv found a parallel manuscript in Moscow: MS Guenzburg 1743.
 - N° 2290:6: כללי סוד העיבור This unpublished manuscript deals with the rules of the calendar.
Ox 2290 (Cat Neugebauer); Ox Mich 58; Ox Mich 345 (old n°).

The inscriptions on the graves of Rabbi Raphael Hanover and his wife provide much insight into their characters.

The inscription on Mrs. Hanover's grave is the following:¹¹

פ"ט

האשה הצנועה והחסודה הגונה וספונה צדקת ה' עשתה בביתה ומעונה כפה פרשה לעני
בחסד וחנינה, לא פסקה פיה מתפילות ובקשות בכונה בכל עת ועונה פיה פתחה בחכמה ה"ה
מרת פאגיל בת התורני הרבני מוהר"ר ברוך זצ"ל והיא היתה אשת התורני כהר"ר רפאל סג"ל
שיחי יצאה נשמתה ביום ב' ו' אלול תק"ל לפ"ק ת"נ' צ"ב'ה'

10 Apparently a translation in English. "The calculation of the end of the days" was issued in London in 1768. It fixed this year to 1783. In *Ma'amar Binah Le'itim* (London 1795), Elyakim ben Abraham – the Hebrew name of Jacob Hart (1745–1814) – based himself on the interpretation of Raphael Ha-Levi from Hanover (whom he did not credit) and connected this date to the Treatise of Versailles (1783) ending the war of America. The dream of Messianic redemption had begun in 1783 and would have its culmination in 1840.

11 Gronemann, Selig (1843–1918): *Genealogische Studien über die alten jüdischen Familien Hannovers*, Berlin 1913.

The inscription on Rabbi Raphael Hanover's grave is the following:¹²

פ"ט
איש אשר אלה לו ראוי להציב ציונים ולחוק
בעט ברזל למען ידעו דורות אחרונים איש צדיק
וישר ונשוא פנים בשישים חכמה ואורך
ימים ושנים, נהירין ליה שבילי דרקיע כשבילי
דנהר דעים ונבונים, יסיק שמים במרכבת תחכמונים
ואסף בחפניו כל גלילות ארץ וימים קדמונים חכמתו
ובינתו לעיני כל עמים והמונים, התיצב לפני מלכים
ורונונים, ראוי לעבר את השנים רפאל אחד מן השרים
הראשונים ה"ה התורני הרבני המפורסם, מהור"ר רפאל
בן החבר רבי יעקב יוסף הלוי זצ"ל יצאה נשמתו ביום ב'¹³
לעת ערב ונקבר למחרתו ביום ג' ג' סיון תקל"ט לפ"ק
ת"ניצ"ב"ה



Picture of Rabbi Raphael Ha-Levi from Hanover

During this period it was common practice that people in Italy, as well as Germany, shaved (see *Responsa Yabetz* I: 80). Even famed Italian rabbis shaved: see the pictures of Rabbi

12 The inscription of his grave has been reconstructed from two deficient versions, the first in Gronemann, mentioned above and the second in S. E. Blogg's *Sefer ha-Hayim*. This last book contains prayers for sick persons and for deceased persons at the cemetery. At the end it mentions the inscription of the graves of some celebrated rabbis: Rabbi Meir of Rottenburg, Rabbi Jacob Emden, Rabbi Jonathan Eibeshutz, Rabbi Zelig Kara from Hanover, and Raphael Ha-Levi from Hanover. Finally, the text was corrected thanks to a picture of the tombstone found on the website <http://www2.iag.uni-hannover.de/~kass/> by Eran Raviv.

13 May 17, 1779.

Samson Morpurgo (1681–1740), Rabbi Moses Gently (1663–1711), and Rabbi Raphael Meldola (1754–1828). People in contact with gentile society were also obligated to wear wigs. Rabbi Menahem Azaria de Fano is also said to have shaved, while Rabbi Samson Morpurgo and Rabbi Raphael Meldola even wore wigs. Rabbi Samson Morpurgo was a celebrated rabbi, mentioned in *Shem ha-Gedolim* for his book of responsa called *Shemesh Tsedaka*. He was often consulted by Rabbi Isaac Lampronti in *Pachad Istshak*, and Rabbi Raphael Meldola, the Haham of London, had received rabbinical ordination from Rabbi H. J. D. Azulai.

Despite his appearance, which today could raise some contestation and interrogation, Raphael Ha-Levi was highly revered and respected by Jews and non-Jews alike. A rabbi as respected as Rabbi Beirush Bernstein (the grandson of Rabbi Joshua Falk (the Pnei Joshua) was proud to be Hanover's pupil, and the Gaon of Vilna studied astronomy in his books (*Aliyot Eliyahu*, pp. 44 and 47, and *Sefer ha-Gra* from R. Yehuda Leib ha-Cohen Maimon p. 33, two last lines).

The inscription in the Memorial book of the Jewish community of Hanover is as follows:¹⁴

יזכור אלקים את נשמת איש צדיק וישר ונשוא פנים בישישים חכמה ואורך ימים ושנים, נהירין ליה שבילי דרקיע כשבילי דנהר דעים ונבונים, יסיק שמים במרכבת תחכמונים ואסף בחפנו כל גלילות ארץ וימים קדמונים, חכמתו ובינתו לעיני כל עמים והמונים, יתיצב לפני מלכים ורוזנים, ראוי לעבר את השנים, רפאל אחד מן השרים הראשונים ה"ה התורני הרבני המפורסם, כל ימיו עסק במצות וגמילות חסדים מוהר"ר רפאל בן החבר רבי יעקב יוסף הלוי זצ"ל יצאה נשמתו ביום ב' לעת ערב ונקבר ביום ג' ג' סיון תקל"ט לפ"ק

Scant biographical elements of Rabbi Raphael Hanover's life are scattered through various books and journals.¹⁵

14 Gronemann, Selig: *Genealogische Studien über die alten jüdischen Familien Hannovers*. Berlin, 1913.

15 1. Altmann, Alexander. *Moses Mendelssohn*, London 1973, pp. 161-163, 786-788.

2. Blogg, S.E. *Sefer ha-Hayim*. Hanover 1848, p. 314. This very popular prayer book for sick persons, mourning and cemetery had 11 re-editions, the last one by Goldschmidt, Basel, 1983, but without the grave inscriptions.

3. Cohn, Berthold. *Jahrb. Der Juedische Literatur Geschichte*. Vol. 18, 1927.

4. *Der Orient*, 7 n° 33, pp. 256-258.

5. Furst, Julius (1805–1873), *Bibliotheca Judaica*, Leipzig 1849–1863.

6. Gronemann, Selig. *Genealogische Studien über die alten jüdische Familien Hannovers*, Berlin, 1913
Erste Abteilung: *Genealogie der Familien*. Zweite abteilung: *Grabschriften und Gedächtnisworte*.

7. Guhrauer, Gottschalk Eduard (1809–1854). *Gottfried Wilhelm Freiherr v. Leibnitz*. Breslau, 1846.

Raphael Hanover had the reputation of an extraordinary skilled calculator, of a rabbinical scholar, and a divine¹⁶ and natural¹⁷ philosopher. One can have an idea of the respect in which he was held and the high reputation he had by reading the rabbinical approbations to the books *Tekhunot ha-Shamayim*¹⁸ by Rabbi Saül Loewenstamm (1717–1790)¹⁹ and Rabbi Isaac Hayim Ibn Dana di Brito²⁰ from Amsterdam, as well as the approbations to the book *Na'ava Kodesh*²¹ by Rabbi Tsvi Hirsch Levin²² (1721–1800) from Berlin²³, Rabbi Arye Leib (1715–1789),²⁴ ben Jacob Joshua Falk (1680–1756),²⁵ and Rabbi Issachar Beirush Bernstein (1747–1802),²⁶ who was the latter's son, both being rabbis of Hanover. Similarly *Aliyot Eliyahu*, a book which is an ode to the glory of the Gaon of Vilna, tells that the Gaon learned astronomy in his book *Tekhunot ha-Shamayim*.²⁷ It also shows

8. *Literaturblatt des Orient*, 1849, pp. 140-143.
9. Mensel Johan Georg, *Lexikon der von Jahr 1750–1800*. VIII, Leipzig, 1808.
10. Rohrbein, Waldemar R. *Judische Persönlichkeiten in Hannovers Geschichte*. Hannover, 1998.
11. Schulze, Peter. *Beiträge zur Geschichte der Juden in Hannover*. Hannover, 1998.
12. Steinschneider, Moritz. *Die Mathematik bei den Juden*. *Bibliotheca Mathematica*. N.F. Vol. 10 (1896) p. 38. N.S. Vol. 7-13 (1893–1899).
13. Steinschneider, Moritz. *Die Mathematik bei den Juden*. MGWJ 49 n° 13 (1905) pp. 723-728.
14. Zeitlin. *Bibl. Post Mendelssohn*, p. 135.
15. Zinberg. *Toledot Sifrut Yisrael*. Vol. 3, p. 366 and Vol. 5, p. 286.
16. Zuckerman, M. *Dokumente zur Geschichte der Juden in Hannover*. Hannover, 1908.
17. Schwarzschild, Steven and Henry Schwarzschild, "Two Lives in the Jewish Frühaufklärung: Raphael Levi Hannover and Moses Abraham Wolff", *Leo Baeck Year Book* 29 (1984), pp. 229-258.
- 16 A theologian.
- 17 A physicist and astronomer. Physics was called "natural" philosophy.
- 18 Amsterdam, 1756.
- 19 מגלת ספר שחיבר חכם גדול בחכמת התכונה אשר בזמננו ושמו כהר"ר רפאל הלוי מק"ק הנובר ונקרא בשם תכונת השמים
- 20 ה"ה התורני כהר"ר רפאל הלוי נר"ו מק"ק הנובר ה"
- 21 Berlin, 1786.
- 22 The younger brother of Saül Loewenstamm, both sons of Rabbi Arié Leib Loewenstamm from Amsterdam (1690–1755), and nephews of Rabbi Jacob Emden (1697–1776).
- 23 החכם השלם התורני הרבני המנוח כמ"ה רפאל הנובר זצ"ל אשר נודע ומפורסם גדול חכמתו בחכמה זו וכבר יצא מוניטין שלו בעולם כי הפליא לעשות קונטרסין אשר המה אוננים לחכמה זו
- 24 ששמעתי נאמנה מפי החכם השלם המפורסם מוהר"ר רפאל סג"ל מכאן שהתפאר את בני הגאון שלדעתו בני ממש כיחיד בדורו בענין זה
- 25 The author of *Pnei Joshua*.
- 26 He learned *Hilkhot Kiddush ha-Hodesh* under Raphael ha-Levi Hanover.
- 27 R. Joshua Heshil ben Elijah Ze'ev ha-Levi Lewin (Vilna 1818–Paris 1883), *Aliyot*

J. Jean Ajdler

the mathematical abilities of the Gaon of Vilna by the fact that he found a mistake in the book *Luhot ha-Ibbur*.²⁸

Highlights of *Luhot ha-Ibbur*, Part I

HaLevi's book, *Luhot ha-Ibbur* consists of tables that were constructed according to the principles of modern astronomy, i.e. the astronomy of the beginning of the eighteenth century.

Glossary

מולד הנכון	The astronomical mean conjunction (corrected <i>molad</i>).
מסלול השמש	Sun's mean anomaly = sun's mean longitude minus apogee's longitude.
מסלול הירח	Moon's mean anomaly = moon's mean longitude minus apogee's longitude.
מסלול הרוחב	Moon's argument of latitude F = longitude of the moon minus longitude of the ascending node. In our tables Hanover tabulates 2F.
מנת מסלול השמש	Sun's quota of the anomaly = equation of the center.
מנת מסלול הירח	Moon's quota of the anomaly = equation of the center.
מנת הזמן	Angular velocity expressed in "/hour.
מסלול הירח המתוקן	Corrected moon's argument of latitude.
איכות	Parity of the argument of latitude or of its variation: Even means that $F > 2k \cdot 180^\circ$: the moon's latitude is positive. Uneven: $F > (2k+1) \cdot 180^\circ$: the moon's latitude is negative.
תקופה אמיתית	True equinox.
תקופה נכונה	Mean equinox (different from <i>tekufa</i> of Samuel and Adda).

Eliyahu, Vilna 1856, p. 44. In fact the information was copied nearly verbatim from the introduction by the Gra's sons Avraham and Yehuda Leib to the book *Aderet Eliyahu*, Dubrovna, 1804. See also: R. Yehuda Leib ha-Cohen Maimon, *Sefer ha-Gra*, Jerusalem 1971, p. 33, last lines and Eliyahu Stern, *The Genius, Elijah of Vilna and the Making of Modern Judaism*, Yale Judaica Press, 2013, pp. 11, 37-39, 44 and 194. Note that early manuscripts of the future *Tekhnat ha-Shamayim* circulated already as early as 1727. This justifies that R. Elijah, born in 1720, could have known this book, still in manuscript, at a very young age. (Thank you Eran Raviv for this precision.)

28 *Aliyot Eliyahu*, p. 47. I have always asked myself what was the mistake discovered? Was it a misprint, an arithmetical mistake, or an astronomical mistake? (See also the commentary on tables 6 and 7.)

Definitions

L: Geocentric mean longitude of the sun.

L': Geocentric mean longitude of the moon.

Ω : Mean longitude of the moon's ascending node.

Γ : Longitude of the sun's perigee.

Γ' : Longitude of the moon's perigee.

$D = L - L'$: Moon's mean elongation.

$M = L - \Gamma - 180^\circ$: Sun's mean anomaly. Today $M = L - \Gamma$.

$M' = L' - \Gamma' - 180^\circ$: Moon's mean anomaly. Today $M' = L' - \Gamma'$.

Astronomical References

The following references should be consulted in order to better understand HaLevi's book, *Luhot ha-Ibbur*:

1. The Equation of Time in Ancient Jewish Astronomy: J. J. Ajdler, *B.D.D.* 16, pp. 43-51.
2. Syzygies Tables: Jean Meeus, Kessel-Lo, 1963.²⁹
3. Textbook on Spherical Astronomy: W. M. Smart. Cambridge University Press. This book was reedited many times.

²⁹ This book was decisive for understanding the signification of Hanover's tables.

Reprinted Tables from *Luhot ha-Ibbur*

ד מסלול השמש לוח			א למחזורים						
לשעות	לימים		מסלול הרוחב	מסלול הירח	מסלול השמש	יתרוטות	תיקונים	מטרות	
1	35	א							
3	71	ב							
4	106	ג נדב							
6	142								
7	177								
9	213	ד יה							
10	248								
12	284								
13	319	ה טז							
15	355								
16	390								
18	426	ו עז							
19	461								
21	497								
22	532	ז פז							
24	568								
25	603								
27	639	ח פז							
28	674								
30	710								
31	745	ט פז							
33	781								
34	816								
35	851								
	887	י פז							
	923								
	958	יא פז							
	993								
	1029								

מסלול הרוחב	מסלול הירח	מסלול השמש	יתרוטות	תיקונים	מטרות	
מספרים איכות	מספרים	מספרים	חל. ש. ימים	חלקי שעות	חל. ש. ימים	
1 4779	2229	5801	15. 2. 235	3411.	2. 5. 204	ניקר
2 545	11050	12951	0. 2. 40	0. 10. 46	2. 16. 595	א נ
2 1091	9139	12943	0. 4. 80	0. 21. 31	5. 9. 110	
2 1636	7229	12934	0. 6. 120	0. 32. 17	1. 1. 705	
2 2181	5319	12925	0. 8. 160	0. 43. 8	3. 18. 220	ב ו
2 2717	3408	12917	0. 10. 200	0. 53. 48	6. 10. 815	
2 3273	1498	12908	0. 12. 240	0. 1. 55	2. 3. 330	
2 3818	12548	12899	0. 14. 280	0. 75. 19	4. 19. 925	ג ז
2 4364	10637	12890	0. 16. 320	0. 86. 4	7. 12. 440	
2 4909	8727	12882	0. 18. 360	0. 96. 50	3. 4. 1055	
2 5454	6817	12873	0. 20. 399	0. 107. 35	5. 21. 550	ד ב
2 5999	673	12786	1. 16. 799	0. 215. 11	4. 19. 80	
1 3403	7490	12699	2. 13. 118	0. 322. 46	3. 16. 570	
1 8858	1347	12612	3. 9. 518	0. 430. 22	2. 14. 40	ה ט
2 1352	8163	12525	4. 5. 917	0. 537. 57	1. 11. 500	
2 6807	2020	12438	5. 2. 236	0. 645. 52	7. 9. 60	
2 12261	8837	12351	5. 22. 636	0. 753. 8	6. 6. 610	ו א
1 4756	2694	12264	6. 18. 1035	0. 860. 43	5. 4. 80	
1 10210	9510	12177	7. 15. 351	0. 968. 19	4. 1. 630	
2 2704	3367	12090	8. 11. 754	0. 1075. 54	2. 23. 100	ז ה
2 5409	6734	11221	16. 23. 428	1. 1071. 48	5. 22. 200	
2 8113	10101	10351	25. 11. 102	2. 1067. 42	1. 21. 300	
2 10818	508	9481	33. 22. 856	3. 1063. 36	4. 20. 400	

כ. בחשבון היתרוטות כשעברו ח שנים מן המחזור צריך להוסיף על יתרוטות מן המחזורים 1. 14. 172. רהיינו יום אחר יד שעת קעב חלקים

Table 1: Mean Movements of the Sun and Moon, the *Molad*, the Corrections and Supplements during 19-Year Cycles.

Table 4: Mean Movement of the Sun's Anomaly during Hours and Days.

ה לח מסלול הרוחב			
כסליו	חלקים	ססלול	פיקול
1	36	40	א
3	72	79	ב
4	108	119	ג
5	144	159	
7	180	198	
8	216	238	ד
9	252	278	
11	288	318	
12	324	357	ה
13	360	397	ו
15	360	437	
16	432	476	ז
17	468	516	
19	504	555	
20	540		ח
21	576		
22	612		
24	648		ט
25	684		
26	720		
28	756		י
29	792		
30	828		
32	864		יא
33	900		
34	936		
36	972		יב
37	1008		
38	1044		

ב לישנים						
מסלול הרוחב	מסלול הירח	מסלול השמש	יהרונות	תיקונים	פילרות	
מספרים	מספרים	מספרים	חלקים ש. יב	שע' חל	הל' ש. ימים	
2	577	11153	12574	10. 21. 6	0. 33	א 4. 8. 876
2	1155	9346	12187	21. 18. 12	1. 6	ב 1. 17. 672
2	3916	8468	12848	3. 2. 533	1. 43	ג 7. 15. 181
2	4526	6661	12462	13. 23. 310	2. 15	ד 4. 23. 1057
2	5105	4854	12075	24. 20. 316	2. 48	ה 2. 8. 853
2	7893	3976	12737	6. 4. 628	3. 23	ו 1. 6. 302
2	8472	2169	12352	17. 1. 620	3. 56	ז 5. 15. 158
2	11260	1291	121	עין במחורים בסכום	4. 32	ח 4. 12. 747
2	11839	12444	12625	9. 6. 914	5. 5	ט 1. 21. 543
2	12418	10637	12222	20. 3. 913	5. 38	י 6. 6. 339
1	2246	9759	12900	1. 12. 132	6. 14	יא 5. 3. 928
1	2825	7952	12513	12. 9. 138	6. 47	ב 2. 12. 724
1	3405	6141	12127	23. 6. 144	7. 20	ג 6. 21. 520
1	3986	5267	12788	4. 14. 437	7. 55	ד 5. 19. 29
1	4567	3460	12402	15. 11. 443	8. 28	ה 3. 3. 655
1	5148	1652	12015	26. 8. 448	9. 1	ו 7. 12. 701
1	5729	775	12676	7. 15. 741	9. 37	ז 6. 10. 510
1	6310	11927	12290	18. 17. 747	10. 10	ח 3. 19. 6

ג להרשים						
2	2209	929	1018	0. 21. 810	0. 3	א 1. 12. 793
2	4417	1859	2096	1. 19. 541	0. 5	ב 3. 1. 506
2	6625	2788	3143	2. 17. 271	0. 8	ג 4. 14. 212
2	8833	3718	4191	3. 15. 5	0. 11	ד 6. 2. 1012
2	11041	4647	5239	4. 12. 112	0. 14	ה 7. 15. 725
1	290	5576	6287	5. 10. 543	0. 16	ו 2. 4. 438
1	2468	6565	7335	6. 14. 273	0. 19	ז 3. 17. 151
1	4706	7435	8382	7. 6. 4	0. 22	ח 5. 5. 944
1	6945	8365	9430	8. 3. 814	0. 25	ט 6. 18. 657
1	9183	9294	10478	9. 1. 545	0. 27	י 1. 7. 370
1	11421	10223	11526	9. 23. 275	0. 30	יא 2. 20. 83
2	579	11153	12574	10. 21. 6	0. 33	יב 4. 8. 876
1	1104	6045	724			יג 0. 18. 306

Table 2: Mean Movements of the Sun and the Moon, the Molad, the Corrections and Supplements during Years of the Cycle.

Table 3: Mean Movements of the Sun and Moon, the Molad, the Corrections and Supplements during the Months.

Table 5: Variation of the Argument of the Moon's Latitude during Hours and Halakim. Hanover tabulates 2F, i.e. twice the moon's argument of latitude.

לוח מנת הסלול ומנת הזמן לשמש													
לגות	0		1080		2160		3240		4320		5400		
	מנת הסלול	מנת הזמן	מנת הסלול	מנת הזמן	מנת הסלול	מנת הזמן	מנת הסלול	מנת הזמן	מנת הסלול	מנת הזמן	מנת הסלול	מנת הזמן	
0	0	143	3641	143	6326	146	7423	148	6325	151	3784	153	1080
36	172		3751		6415		7426		6439		3668		1044
72	254		3878		6488		7424		6373		3353		1008
108	331		3967		6549		7423		6325		3437		972
144	405		4074		6609		7418		6235		3220		936
180	473	143	4183	144	6666	146	7407	148	6163	151	3330	153	900
216	537		4283		6721		7399		6087		3479		864
252	597		4385		6775		7386		6012		2960		828
288	654		4487		6826		7374		5933		2839		792
324	707		4589		6884		7357		5853		2716		756
360	757	143	4689	144	6926	146	7337	149	5770	152	2592	153	720
396	804		4789		6968		7319		5691		2468		684
432	848		4884		7011		7296		5606		2342		648
468	897		4980		7053		7269		5519		2216		612
504	941		5075		7093		7241		5430		2090		576
540	981	143	5167	144	7130	147	7211	150	5338	152	1962	153	540
576	1018		5257		7165		7181		5245		1834		504
612	1052		5346		7197		7146		5151		1705		468
648	1082		5434		7228		7109		5055		1577		432
684	1109	143	5521	143	7256	147	7070	150	4958	152	1448	153	396
720	1133		5605		7281		7029		4859		1318		360
756	1154		5690		7305		6987		4760		1187		324
792	1172		5771		7329		6942		4657		1058		288
828	1187		5852		7349		6895		4553		926		252
864	1199		5929		7368		6845		4447		794		216
900	1208	143	6003	143	7382	148	6792	151	4340	153	662	153	180
936	1214		6074		7395		6739		4232		529		144
972	1217		6144		7406		6683		4121		398		108
1008	1218		6215		7415		6624		4010		265		72
1044	1216		6284		7421		6565		3897		133		36
1080	1211	143	6350	146	7425	148	6505	151	3784	153	0	153	0
	11880.		10800.		9720.		8640.		7560.		6480.		לוחות

Table 6: The Sun's Quota of the Anomaly (in units of 100 Seconds of Arc) or the Equation of the Centre and its Angular Velocity (in Seconds of Arc per Hour) as a Function of the Sun's Anomaly.

The sun's angular velocity is a function of the anomaly expressed in units of $100'' = 0.027778^\circ$. Thus $360 = 10^\circ$, $720 = 20^\circ$, $1080 = 30^\circ$, $6480 = 180^\circ$. The quota is negative when the anomaly $< 180^\circ$ and positive when the anomaly $> 180^\circ$. The anomaly is measured from the apogee; anomaly = $M + 180^\circ$. For the anomaly 1° : read 127 instead of 172. For 59° : read 6284 instead of 6254. For 114° read 6845 instead of 6815.

לוח מנת המסלול ומנת הזמן לירח

ל"ט	0		1080		2160		3240		4320		5400		
	מנת המסלול	מנת הזמן	מנת המסלול	מנת הזמן	מנת המסלול	מנת הזמן	מנת המסלול	מנת הזמן	מנת המסלול	מנת הזמן	מנת המסלול	מנת הזמן	
0	0	1814	8588	1837	15160	1801	17970	1966	16005	2078	2431	2131	1080
36	298	1814	8892	1834	15317	1893	17988	1979	15859	2081	2148	2132	1044
72	596	1814	9110	1836	15476	1895	17990	1972	15771	2054	8802	2134	1008
108	893	1814	9327	1837	15628	1897	17999	1975	15741	2057	8574	2136	972
144	1190	1815	9542	1839	15780	1898	17998	1979	15714	2060	8347	2137	936
180	1488	1815	9757	1840	15944	1900	17989	1983	15687	2072	7989	2138	900
216	1784	1815	9971	1841	16084	1902	17976	1985	15660	2075	7693	2139	864
252	2079	1815	10173	1843	16220	1905	17960	1989	14644	2078	7394	2140	828
288	2374	1816	10364	1844	16333	1907	17931	1993	14518	2080	7092	2142	792
324	2670	1816	10548	1846	16459	1910	17904	1996	14408	2083	6787	2143	756
360	2965	1817	11098	1848	16581	1913	17868	2000	14273	2085	6480	2144	720
396	3259	1817	11333	1850	16698	1915	17828	2001	14072	2088	6171	2146	684
432	3553	1818	11566	1851	16812	1918	17786	2008	13867	2090	5860	2147	648
468	3844	1818	11795	1853	16918	1921	17727	2010	13658	2093	5547	2148	612
504	4136	1819	12022	1855	17021	1923	17670	2013	13444	2095	5231	2149	576
540	4425	1819	12245	1857	17119	1926	17607	2015	13227	2098	4914	2150	540
576	4713	1820	12464	1858	17213	1929	17539	2017	13003	2100	4595	2151	504
612	5000	1820	12680	1860	17302	1931	17463	2020	12773	2103	4274	2151	468
648	5286	1821	12892	1862	17384	1934	17385	2022	12542	2105	3952	2152	432
684	5570	1822	13102	1864	17462	1937	17301	2025	12305	2107	3628	2152	396
720	5852	1823	13307	1866	17535	1939	17211	2028	12065	2110	3302	2153	360
756	6133	1824	13505	1868	17602	1942	17116	2031	11819	2113	2974	2153	324
792	6413	1824	13708	1870	17663	1945	17014	2034	11568	2115	2646	2154	288
828	6693	1825	13903	1872	17720	1947	16907	2037	11314	2117	2317	2154	252
864	6969	1826	14095	1874	17772	1950	16795	2040	11056	2119	1988	2155	216
900	7245	1827	14283	1877	17819	1953	16678	2043	10793	2121	1658	2155	180
936	7518	1828	14466	1880	17858	1955	16556	2046	10527	2123	1328	2155	144
972	7789	1829	14645	1883	17894	1958	16427	2049	10258	2125	997	2156	108
1008	8058	1830	14820	1885	17925	1961	16293	2052	9985	2127	664	2156	72
1044	8324	1831	14991	1888	17950	1963	16153	2055	9710	2129	332	2156	36
1080	8588	1832	15160	1891	17970	1966	16009	2058	9431	2131	0	2157	0
	11880.		10800.		9720.		8640.		7560.		6480.		לחוסף

Table 7: The Moon's Quota of the Anomaly (in units of 100 Seconds of Arc) at the Conjunction or Opposition, or the Equation of the Centre Diminished by the Evection, and the Moon's Angular Velocity (in Seconds of Arc per Hour) as a Function of the Moon's Anomaly Expressed in Units of $100'' = 0.02778^\circ$.

Thus $360 = 10^\circ$, $720 = 20^\circ$, $1080 = 30^\circ$, $6480 = 180^\circ$. The quota is negative for anomaly $< 180^\circ$ and positive for anomaly $> 180^\circ$. The anomaly is measured from the apogee; anomaly $= M' + 180^\circ$.

Description of Columns in Tables 1–3

Following is a description of the columns and rows in the following tables:

Table 1: Mean Movements of the Sun and Moon, the *Molad*, the Corrections and Supplements during 19-Year Cycles

Table 2: Mean Movements of the Sun and Moon, the *Molad*, the Corrections and Supplements during Years of the Cycle

Table 3: Mean Movements of the Sun and Moon, the *Molad*, the Corrections and Supplements during the Months

1st column: Number of cycles.

2nd column: *Molad* – Residue corresponding to the span of time defined in the first column for the calculation of the *molad*.

3rd column: Correction for the astronomical mean conjunction corresponding to the span of time defined in the first column. The mean astronomical conjunction, according to modern astronomy (in the beginning of the eighteenth century), does not perfectly coincide with the *molad* because the synodic mean lunar month is slightly shorter than the Jewish month of 29d 12h 793p. Therefore the mean conjunction occurs before the *molad*.³⁰

4th column: Supplements representing the excess of the Jewish cycles of 19 years or 235 lunations on the tropical years during the span of time defined in the first column in order to calculate the exact length of the tropical years during the span of time defined in the first column.³¹

5th column: The variation of the sun's mean anomaly, i.e. the longitude of the mean sun minus the longitude of the sun's apogee,³² during the span of time defined in the first column.

6th column: Variation of the moon's mean anomaly, i.e. the longitude of the mean moon minus the longitude of the moon's apogee, during the span of time defined in the first column.

7th column: Variation of 2F, i.e. twice the moon's argument of latitude during the span of time defined in the first column. F represents the distance between the

30 Before year 3411 AMI, the mean conjunction occurred after the *molad*. At the beginning of the Jewish calendar at the *molad* of *Beharad*, the mean conjunction had a delay of 1h 47.5m with regard to *Beharad*.

31 In order to calculate a mean equinox or a solstice.

32 In ancient astronomy and still in the eighteenth century, the anomaly is considered with regard to the apogee. In modern astronomy we refer to the perigee.

moon and the ascending node.

8th column: Parity of the variation of the argument of latitude. If the parity is even, then the moon's latitude beholds its sign and the moon remains on the same side with regard to the ecliptic. If the parity is uneven, then the moon's latitude changes its sign and the moon is now on the other side with regard to the ecliptic. If the parity is even, the moon's latitude is positive, and if it is uneven, then the moon's latitude is negative.

1st row: Gives the radices, or the different parameters at the epoch, i.e., the astronomical mean conjunction corresponding to the *molad* of *Beharad*. The addition of the radix of each parameter with the value of the variation of this parameter during the span of time corresponding to a certain line of the first column gives the value of this parameter after the end of this span of time counted from the astronomical mean conjunction corresponding to *Beharad*. The radices are the different values of the parameters at the moment of the astronomical conjunction corresponding to the *molad* of *Beharad*. The values of the radices were calculated by Hanover in such a way that the mean parameters calculated for his epoch correspond with the accepted astronomical values.

Justification for the Various Tables In *Luhot Ha-Ibbur*

This section provides justification for the various tables that Ha-Levi of Hanover has calculated in *Luhot Ha-Ibbur*.

Table 1. Mean Movements of the Sun and Moon, the Molad, the Corrections and Supplements during 19-Year Cycles

1. *Tikkunim* or corrections that allow one to find the astronomical mean conjunction distinct from the *molad*.

For a span of 400 cycles of 19 years each, corresponding to 94,000 months, Hanover gives a correction of 3h 1063hal and 36/60 or 4,303.6 hal.³³ The correction for one lunation is then 0.045 782 978 723 4 hal or 0.152 609 92 s. The lunation of Hanover is thus, instead of 29-12-793, 29d 12h 792.954 217 021 277 hal or 29.530592369483 days. In other words, Hanover considers an astronomical month to be 29d 12h 44m 3.1807233s instead of the Jewish month of 29d 12h 44m 3.333s.

This value is slightly higher than the following values mentioned by Lalande

33 1 *helek* = 3 1/3 seconds.

J. Jean Ajdler

(1732–1807) in his Astronomy book published in 1764:

Ismael Bouillaud (1605–1694): 29d 12h 44m 3.1603s

Tobias Mayer (1723–1762): 29d 12h 44m 2.8897s

Hanover considers that the astronomical conjunction coincided with the *molad* in Tishri 3411. Therefore in Tishri 5516 at the date of the publication of his book, after 2105 years³⁴ or 26035 months after the epoch of coincidence,³⁵ the difference amounts to $26035 * 0.15260992 = 3973,19927s = 66.22m$. The astronomical mean conjunction precedes the *molad* by 66.22m.

2. *Yitronot* or excesses represent the excess of the astronomical lunar years or cycles with regard to the tropical years.

Hanover gives for 400 cycles or 94000 lunations 33d 22h 856 hal.

94,000 Jewish months represent:	2,775,875.848	765	440	000	d
Correction for astronomical lunations, of 4303.6 hal	- 0.166	033	950	617	d
Length of 94000 astronomical lunations:	2,775,875.682	731	489	383	d
Excess on 7600 tropical years:	- 33.949	691	358	025	d
Length of 7600 tropical years:	2,775,841.733	040	131	358	d
Length of 19 tropical years:	6,939.604	332	605		d
Length of a tropical year:	365.242	333	295		d

If we compare the tropical year of Hanover with other historical data, we have the following elements:

Rabbi Adda	365d 5h 55m 25.4386s
Ptolemy, second century	365d 5h 55m 12s
Al-Battani, ninth century	365d 5h 46m 24s
Rabbi Abraham bar Hiyya (12th century)	365d 5h 55m 12s
Alphonsine Tables, 126	365d 5h 49m 16s
Copernicus (1473–1543)	365d 5h 49m 20s
Flamsteed (1646–1719)	365d 5h 48m 57.5s
Jacques Cassini (1677–1756)	365d 5h 48m 49s
De la Caille (1713–1762)	365d 5h 48m 49s
Lalande, 1764	365d 5h 48m 45s

34 $2105 = 110 * 19 + 15$. It corresponds to $110 * 235 + 10 * 12 + 5 * 13 = 26035$ months.

35 This simplified calculation, which does not take into consideration the real leap years, gives a result which does not differ from the true number of elapsed months by more than one month. The consequence is insignificant.

Hanover, 1756	365d 5h 48m 57.6s
Tropical year 190	365d 5h 48m 45.97s

The tropical year of Hanover corresponds practically with the value of Flamsteed.

3. The sun's anomaly

Hanover gives a value of 9481 for 400 cycles; it represents the variation of the sun's anomaly during 400 cycles or 94000 astronomical mean lunations.

According to the data given by Jean Meeus,³⁶ the variation of the sun's mean anomaly in 36525 days is today $35,999^{\circ}.050\ 30$. We know that 94000 Jewish months represent $2,775,875.848\ 765\ 43d$ and 94000 astronomical lunations represent, according to Hanover, $2,775,875.68273148d$ or $36525d * 75.999\ 334\ 229\ 4$. During this last period the sun's anomaly increases by $7599 * 360^{\circ} + 263^{\circ}.8557$. If we transform this remainder in seconds of angle we obtain $949880''$, and dividing this by 100 results in 9499. This figure is very near to 9481 given by Hanover and it confirms the procedure of calculation. In fact, Hanover considers a variation of $35999^{\circ}.043\ 792\ 3$ in 36525 days, slightly different from the value of Meeus.

4. The moon's anomaly

Hanover gives a value of 508 for 400 cycles. It represents the variation of the moon's anomaly during 94000 astronomical mean lunations.

According to the data given by Jean Meeus,³⁷ the variation of the moon's mean anomaly in 36525 days is $477,198^{\circ}.867\ 631\ 3$. Now 94000 astronomical lunations represent, according to Hanover, $2,775,875.682\ 731\ 48d$ and correspond to $36525d * 75.999\ 334\ 229\ 4$. During this period the moon's anomaly increases by $100741 * 360^{\circ} + 36.2350^{\circ}$. If we transform this remainder in seconds of angle we obtain $130446''$ and dividing this by 100 results in 1304.46.

Again this figure is close to 508 given by Hanover. In fact, Hanover considers a variation of the moon's anomaly of $477,198^{\circ}.576\ 525$ in 36525 days which is very close to the modern value of Meeus.

³⁶ Jean Meeus, *Astronomical Algorithms*, Willmann-Bell, chapter 24, p. 151.

³⁷ Jean Meeus, *Astronomical Algorithms*, Willmann-Bell, chapter 45, p. 308.

5. The moon's argument of latitude

Hanover gives a value of 10818 for 400 cycles, or 94000 astronomical mean lunations, and an even parity for the variation of the argument of latitude. As we'll see, Hanover tabulates twice the argument of latitude in his tables.

According to the data given by Jean Meeus,³⁸ the variation of the moon's argument of latitude in 36525 days is $483,202^{\circ}.017\ 527\ 3$. Now 94000 astronomical lunations represent, according to Hanover, $2,775,875.682\ 731\ 48d$ and correspond to $36525d * 75.999\ 334\ 229\ 4$. During this period the moon's argument of latitude increases by $102008 * 360^{\circ} + 151^{\circ}.6304$. If we transform this remainder in seconds of angle we get 545869.44 and dividing this by 100 results in 5459. Finally we multiply the result by 2, because Hanover tabulates 2F, and we get 10918. That means that Hanover's variation of the moon's argument of latitude is very close to the modern value and is worth $483,201^{\circ}.9994$ in a period of 36525 days. Now $F > 2k * 180^{\circ}$ and therefore the parity is even.

Table 2. Mean Movements of the Sun and Moon, the Molad, the Corrections and Supplements during Years of the Cycle

1. *Tikkunim*

Let us examine the line with 18 years corresponding to 222 months.

The correction is $222 * 0.045\ 782\ 978724 = 10.1638\ hal = 10\ hal\ 9.82/60$, hence 10 hal 10/60 given by Hanover.

2. *Yitronot*, the differences between the lunar years, multiples of lunations and the tropical years.

Generally the tropical years are longer than the lunar years. For example, if we consider the case of 18 years:

18 tropical years, according to the length of Hanover, are: $6574.361\ 999\ 30\ d$.

222 astronomical lunations:³⁹ $222 * 29.530\ 592\ 369\ 483 = 6555.791\ 506\ 03\ d$.

Difference $18.570\ 493\ 27\ d = 18d\ 13h\ 747\ ch$.

The only exception is the case of 8 years which are shorter than 99 lunations.

8 tropical years, according to the length of Hanover, are: $2921.938\ 666\ 358\ d$.

38 Jean Meeus, *Astronomical Algorithms*, Willmann-Bell, chapter 45, p. 308.

39 According to the length of Hanover.

99 astronomical lunations:⁴⁰ $99 * 29.530\ 592\ 369\ 483 = 2923.528\ 644\ 578\ \text{d.}$
Difference $- 1.589\ 978\ 220\ \text{d} = 1\ \text{d}\ 14\ \text{h}\ 172\ \text{hal.}$

At the creation of the world, the mean autumnal equinox was 15d 2h 235 hal. after the astronomical mean conjunction corresponding to *Beharad*. This mean conjunction followed *Beharad* by 42176 months * 0.152 609 92s = 6583.6399s = 1h 49m 44s. This initial delay of 15d 2h 235hal **must** be added to the *yitronot* of the individual years (except the case of 8 years) and fractions of year, which increase the delay of the astronomical mean *tekufot*. On the contrary, the *yitronot* of the cycles, which bring the mean *tekufot* forward, must be subtracted.

3. The sun's mean anomaly

For example, after 18 years corresponding to 222 lunar months (astronomical months of Hanover) the variation of the sun's anomaly is:
 $(6555.791\ 506\ 03 / 36525) * 35,999^\circ.043\ 792\ 3^{41} = 6461^\circ.3888 = 341^\circ.3888 = 1228999.68''$. Hence 12290 given by Hanover.

4. The moon's mean anomaly

If we examine the line with 18 years, the variation of the moon's anomaly after 222 lunar months of Hanover is:
 $(6555.791\ 506\ 03 / 36525) * 477,198^\circ.576\ 525^{42} = 85,651^\circ.3176 = 331^\circ.3176 = 1,192,743''$.3600. Hence 11927 given by Hanover.
 $F > (2k + 1) * 180$ and therefore the figure of parity is uneven.

5. The moon's argument of latitude

The argument of latitude corresponding to the line with 18 years is:
 $F = (6555.791\ 506\ 03 / 36525) * 483,201^\circ.9994^{43} = 86,728^\circ.8587 = 240 * 360 + 328^\circ.8587 = 328^\circ.8587 = 1,183,891''$ 3200. Hence $2F = 11839 * 2 = 23678$; corresponding to 10718 given by Hanover, after subtraction of 12960.

40 According to the length of Hanover.

41 The value adopted by Hanover.

42 See above, it is the value adopted by Hanover.

43 See above, it is the value adopted by Hanover.

Table 3. Mean Movements of the Sun and Moon, the Molad, the Corrections and Supplements during the Months

The values given for one month for the *yitronot* are those of one year divided by 12.

Table 4. Movement of the Sun's Anomaly during Days and Hours

The variation of the sun's anomaly for 29 days is given by:
 $(29 / 36525) * 35,999^{\circ}.043\ 792\ 3 = 28^{\circ}.5824 = 102,896.64''$. Hence 1029 given by Hanover.

Table 5. Movement of the Moon's Argument of Latitude during Hours

The variation of the moon's argument of latitude for 12 hours is given by:
 $483,201^{\circ}.9994: (36525 * 2) = 6^{\circ}.614\ 674\ 8720 = 23,812''.8295$. Hence $238 * 2 = 476$ given by Hanover.

Table 6. The Quota of the Sun's Anomaly or the Equation of the Centre, and the Instantaneous Velocity of the Sun in Longitude (Variation of the Sun's True Longitude per Hour)

The Quota of the Sun's Anomaly

The anomaly of the sun and the moon varies between 0° and 360° or between 0 and 1,296,000". Hanover tabulates the anomaly in units of 100", from 0 until 12960. The area 0 until 6480 is read on the left column downwards; the quota of the anomaly is subtractive. The area 6480 – 12960 is read on the right column upwards; the quota of the anomaly is additive.

The quota of the sun's anomaly, or the equation of the centre, represents the difference:

$\Lambda - L$ i.e., the difference between the true longitude and the mean longitude. The study of the elliptic movement allows writing:⁴⁴

$$C = 1^{\circ}.914\ 600 \sin M + 0^{\circ}.019\ 993 \sin 2M + 0^{\circ}.000\ 290 \sin 3M + \dots$$

The sun's true longitude is $\Lambda = L + C$.

44 Equation of the centre for 2000 according to Meeus, Willmann-Bell 1991, chap. 24: Solar Coordinates.

We have already mentioned that in ancient astronomy and even in the astronomy of the eighteenth century, the anomaly is calculated with regard to the sun's apogee and therefore the sign of C changes: the equation $\Lambda - L = C$ becomes $\Lambda - L = -C$ in ancient astronomy and even in modern astronomy of the eighteenth century.

The equation of the centre given by Hanover is not very precise; it is even less precise than the quota of the anomaly given in volume 2 according to Maimonides, following the ancient astronomy of Ptolemy. It is difficult to understand how, in the eighteenth century, Hanover gives an equation of the centre of 2.06° for $M=90^\circ$ and 270° . In the following comparative table M is the anomaly according to the modern definition, referring to the perigee; it is expressed in degrees. The equation of the center is positive for $M < 180^\circ$. It is expressed in seconds of arc: $1'' = 0.0002778^\circ$.

M = $\Lambda - L$	Meeus (1900) ¹	Hanover (1756)	Hanover (ancient astronomy)	Lalande (1764)
0°	0	0	0	0
10°	1225.2	1318	1260	1229
20°	2410.81	2592	2520	2418.3
30°	3518.74	3784	3660	3529.7
40°	4513.86	4859	4740	4527.8
50°	5365.17	5770	5580	5381.8
60°	6046.92	6503	6300	6065.6
70°	6539.28	7029	6780	6559.3
80°	6828.89	7337	7080	6849.7
90°	6909	7425	7140	6930.1
100°	6779.44	7281	7020	6800.1
110°	6446.32	6926	6660	6465.8
120°	5921.65	6350	6060	5939.5
130°	5222.7	5605	5340	5238.4
140°	4371.37	4689	4500	4384.4
150°	3393.42	3641	3480	3403.5
160°	2317	2490	2400	2328.8
170°	1175.69	1262	1200	1179.3
180°	0	0	0	0

J. Jean Ajdler

The solar equation of the Center. $M = 10^\circ$ corresponds for Hanover and Lalande to an anomaly of 190° . One can observe the very good coincidence between the values of Lalande and the modern values. The values given by Hanover are less precise. Engineer Eran Raviv got a perfect coincidence between the values given by Hanover and those derived from the theoretical formula:

$C = (2e - 0.25e^3) \sin M + 2.5 e^2 \sin M \cos M$ for an eccentricity of $e = 0.017995 \sim 0.018$ instead of the correct value of 0.01680 adopted by Lalande⁴⁵. The greatest equation of the sun is $7425'' = 2.06^\circ$ (Hanover) instead of $1^\circ 55' 31.6''$ (Lalande). The values of Hanover are worse than those adopted by al-Battani nearly 8 centuries before. The approach adopted by Hanover remains a conundrum. M is given in degrees and C in seconds of arc.

Instantaneous Velocity of the Sun in Longitude

$$\Lambda = L + 1^\circ.914\ 600 \sin M + 0^\circ.019\ 993 \sin 2M + 0.000\ 290 \sin 3M \dots\dots\dots^{46}$$

or in radians:

$$\Lambda = L + 0.033\ 4160\ 74 \sin M + 0.000\ 348\ 94 \sin 2M + 0.000\ 005\ 06 \sin 3M \dots\dots\dots$$

If we want to express the velocity in seconds of arc per hour we need to know:

$$dL / dt = 36000^\circ.769083/36525 = 0.985\ 647\ 360 \text{ }^\circ/\text{day or } 147.8471 \text{ }''/\text{h. and}$$

$$dM / dt = 35999^\circ.050030 / 36525 = 0.985\ 600\ 281 \text{ }^\circ/\text{day or } 147.8400 \text{ }''/\text{h.}$$

The instantaneous velocity of the sun on the ecliptic is thus:

$$d\Lambda / dt = 147.8471''/\text{h} + 4.9402 \text{ Cos } M + 0.1032 \text{ Cos } 2M + 0.0022 \text{ Cos } 3M$$

When the sun is at the perigee the angular velocity is maximal: $147.85 + 4.94 = 152.79''/\text{h}$.

When the sun is at the apogee, the velocity is minimal: $147.84 - 4.94 = 142.9''/\text{h}$.

Hanover rounds off at $143''/\text{h}$, $148''/\text{h}$ and $153''/\text{h}$. The same procedure allows calculating the angular velocity of the true longitude and true anomaly for any value of M. It is likely that Hanover calculated the velocity by another procedure, using his favorite method of the finite differences. For example, when $M = 0$ and the sun is at its perigee, for Hanover the anomaly is 180° , the quota of the anomaly is 0° .

45 The comparison of table 6 with the table of figures obtained by the theoretical formula allowed Eran Raviv to correct some misprints in Table 6. For the anomaly of 1° : 127 instead of 172 (as indicated in fact in the erratum), for 59° : 6284 instead of 6254 and for 144° : 6845 instead of what could be erroneously read as 6815 because the 4 is very weak.

46 *Astronomical Formulae for Calculators*, Jean Meeus, Willmann-Bell 1982, chapter 18: *Solar Coordinates*, p. 80. *Astronomical Algorithms*, Jean Meeus, Willmann-Bell 1991, chapter 24, *Solar Coordinates*, p. 152.

When $M = 1^\circ$ (for Hanover the anomaly is 181°), then the quota of the anomaly is $133''$. Thus when the mean anomaly of Hanover increases from 180° to 181° the true anomaly increases from 180° to 181.0369° . The true velocity is thus the mean velocity multiplied by 1.0369 or $147.8 * 1.0369 = 153''/h$.

Table 7. The Moon's Quota of the Anomaly at Mean Conjunction or Opposition and the Moon's Angular Velocity of the True Longitude

The movement of the moon is much more complicated. The quota of the anomaly corresponding to $\Lambda' - L'$ i.e. the difference between the true longitude and the mean longitude, includes in addition to the equation of the centre, different perturbations, some of them having a special name. The most important of these perturbations is the evection which was already detected by Hipparchus of Nicea in the second century BCE, but Ptolemy, in the second century, was the first to formulate the law of its time dependence. We have the following relation between Λ' , the true moon's longitude and L' the mean moon's longitude:⁴⁷

$$\Lambda' = L' + 6^\circ.288\ 774 \sin M' + 1.274\ 027 \sin (2D - M') + 0^\circ.658\ 314 \sin 2D + 0^\circ.213\ 618 \sin 2M' - 0^\circ.185\ 116 \sin M - 0^\circ.114\ 332 \sin 2F + 0^\circ.058\ 793 \sin (2D - 2M') + \dots$$

The same relation, written in radians, gives:

$$\Lambda' = M' + 0.109\ 759\ 812 \sin M' + 0.022\ 235\ 966 \sin (2D - M') + 0.011\ 489\ 747 \sin 2D + 0.003\ 728\ 337 \sin 2M' - 0.003\ 230\ 884 \sin M - 0.001\ 995\ 470 \sin 2F + 0.001\ 026\ 131 \sin (2D - 2M') + \dots$$

In order to calculate the derivative of this relation, we need the following data:

$$dL' / dt = 1976.4595''/h.$$

$$dM' / dt = 1959.7489''/h.$$

$$dD / dt = 1828.6124''/h$$

$$dF / dt = 1984.4025''/h$$

$$d(2D - M') / dt = 1697.4758''/h.$$

$$d(2D - 2M') / dt = -262.2932''/h.$$

We find then, deriving the former relation and adopting $''/h$ as a unit of angular velocity:

$$d\Lambda' / dt = 1976.4595''/h + 215.1017 * \cos M' + 37.7450 * \cos(2D - M') + 42.0260 * \cos 2D + 14.6132 * \cos 2M' - 0.4777 * \cos M - 7.9196 * \cos 2F - 0.2691 * \cos(2D - 2M') + \dots$$

47 Astronomical Formulae for Calculators, Jean Meeus, Willmann-Bell 1982, chapter 30: position of the moon, p. 149.

J. Jean Ajdler

At the time of the syzygie or opposition, $D=0$ and if M' is equal to 0, then Λ' will be maximum. The maximum value of Λ' is about $1976.4595 + 215.1017 + 37.7450 + 42.0260 + 14.6132 - 0.2691 \sim 2285''/h$. Similarly the minimum value is reached for $M'=180^\circ$ and is about $1780''/h$. If we consider only the first perturbation term we have: Λ' max. = $2191.56''/h$ and Λ' min. = $1761''/h$.

Hanover probably used a simplified equation of the center.

The eccentricity of the moon's trajectory is today about 0.0549 and the simplified equation of the centre given by the theory of the elliptic movement is then:

$C' = 0.01098 \sin M' + 0.0038 \sin 2M'$, C' being calculated in radians; or in degrees:

$$C' = 6^\circ.2887 \sin M' + 0^\circ.2159 \sin 2M'.$$

The evection is given by $E_v = 1^\circ.2739 \sin (2D - M')$, where $D = L - L'$ is the mean elongation. At the conjunction $D \sim 0^\circ$ and $E_v = -\sin M'$, it diminishes the quota of the anomaly to $5^\circ.0148 \sin M' + 0^\circ.2159 \sin 2M'$. Hanover gives 4.99° for $M' = 90$ and 270° which is a very good approximation.

The variation of the moon's true longitude per hour or the angular velocity of the moon's true longitude could then have been calculated by Hanover as follows: $\Lambda' = L' + C'$.

$$d\Lambda' / dt = dL' / dt + dC' / dt$$

dL' / dt is the angular velocity of the mean longitude, and its value⁴⁸ is $481,267^\circ.881342 / 36525 = 13^\circ.176396477^\circ/\text{day}$ or $1976.4595''/h$. If we express C' in radian and neglect the second term: $C' = 0.0875 \sin M'$ and therefore $dC' / dt = 0.0875 \cos M' dM' / dt$.

Where:

$dM' / dt = 477198^\circ.8676313 / 36525 = 13.0650^\circ/\text{day}$ or $1959.7489''/h$.⁴⁹ We see that the angular velocity of the mean anomaly and the mean longitude are respectively $1959.75''/h$ and $1976.46''/h$, and are not very different⁵⁰ from each other; they differ by less than 1% and therefore the angular velocity of the true longitude and the true anomaly are also very close.

When the moon is at the perigee the angular velocity is maximal: $1976.46 + 0.0875 * 1959.75 = 2148''/h$.

48 According to the modern value, which does not differ appreciably from Hanover's value.

49 This is again the modern value, which does not differ appreciably from Hanover's value.

50 The difference between the angular velocity of the longitude $1976.4595''/h$ and the angular velocity of the anomaly $1959.7489''/h$ is $16.7105''/h$; it represents the angular velocity of the apogee and perigee of the lunar orbit. In the case of the sun the difference between the angular velocity of the longitude and the anomaly is only $0.0071''/h$.

When the sun is at the apogee, the velocity is minimal: $1976.46 - 0.0875 \cdot 1959.75 = 1805''/h$.

Hanover rounds off the angular velocity to $1814''/h$, $1966''/h$ and $2157''/h$.

The same procedure allows one to calculate the angular velocity of the true longitude for any value of M . It is likely that Hanover calculated the velocity by another procedure, using his favorite method of the finite differences. For example when $M' = 0$ the moon is at its perigee, thus for Hanover the anomaly is 180° , the quota of the anomaly is 0° .

When $M' = 1^\circ$ and for Hanover the anomaly is 181° , then the quota of the anomaly is $332''$. Thus when the mean anomaly of Hanover increases from 180° to 181° the true anomaly increases from 180° to 181.0922° . The true velocity is thus the mean velocity multiplied by 1.0922 or $1966 \cdot 1.0922 = 2147''/h$. In fact, there is a lack of precision and coherence in the velocities given by Hanover.

It is nevertheless the velocities of the moon and the sun on the ecliptic which we are searching for, thus we must use the true angular velocities of the moon's and sun's longitude. It is thus strange that Hanover did not use $1976''/h$ as the mean angular velocity of the moon's longitude instead of $1966''/h$.

Principle of Utilization of Tables 6 and 7

Hanover's tables 1 to 5 are based on the mean movements of the sun and moon. Because of the eccentricity of the orbits, the sun may be $1^\circ.9$ (maximum value of the sun's equation of the centre) on either side of its mean position and the moon $6^\circ.3$ (the maximum value of the moon's quota of the anomaly). Moreover, there are periodic perturbations in the moon's longitude. However, at the new and full moon $D = L - L' \sim 0$ or 180° , the evection and other perturbation terms reduce the moon's maximum deviation from $6^\circ.3$ to $5^\circ.4$. Therefore, the relative positions of the two celestial bodies may vary $1^\circ.9 + 5^\circ.4 = 7^\circ.3$ from the mean value near the conjunction or the opposition. As the hourly motion of $D = L - L'$ is $0^\circ.51$, the maximum time interval Δt between the mean new (or full) moon and the new true (or full) moon will be $7^\circ.3 / 0^\circ.51 = 14.3$ hours. This explains why Table 5 is calculated with an entry for maximum 14 hours.

Now one finds at the mean conjunction the mean anomaly of the sun $M = L - \Gamma - 180^\circ$ and then through Table 6 the quota of the anomaly $\Lambda - L$, i.e. the distance between the true and the mean sun. One also finds the mean anomaly of the moon and then through Table 7 the quota of the anomaly of the moon $\Lambda' - L'$. But at the mean conjunction $L = L'$, and therefore we know $\Lambda - \Lambda'$, the distance between true

J. Jean Ajdler

sun and true moon. Now if we consider the position of the true moon and the true sun, there are two possibilities:

a) *The sun's true longitude is greater than the moon's true longitude.*

The true conjunction will occur Δt after the mean conjunction. During this time Δt the moon must catch up with the sun. As the moon's velocity is about 13 times the sun's velocity, the moon will, during this span of time, cover the distance between the moon and the sun + the little distance covered by the sun. This is the reason why Hanover takes the instantaneous velocity of the moon at half-way of the distance between true moon and true sun at the moment of the mean conjunction.

b) *The true moon's longitude is greater than the true sun's longitude.*

In this case the moon has already outrun the sun and therefore the true conjunction was Δt before the mean conjunction.

With the instantaneous angular velocity of the moon at half-way the distance between the true moon and the true sun, and with the angular velocity of the sun we find by subtraction the relative angular velocity. The quotient of the distance by the velocity gives the time Δt which must be added to or subtracted from the time of the mean conjunction to get the true conjunction. The next step is then to find the argument of latitude F of the true moon.

$F(\text{true moon}) = F(\text{mean moon}) + (\Lambda' - L') + \Delta F(\Delta t)$.

Or: $2 * F(\text{true moon}) = 2 * F(\text{mean moon}) + 2(\Lambda' - L') + 2 * \Delta F(\Delta t)$, where the first term has been calculated through the first tables, the second term is found through Table 7; it is twice the quota of the moon's anomaly divided by 100 and the last term is calculated through Table 5 for the span of time Δt between mean and true conjunction.

Numerical Examples

Hanover considers in his first example, to which we will limit ourselves, the conjunction of Adar II of the year 5497 in Hanover, corresponding to Friday, March 1, 1737.

1. Calculation of the *molad*. The molad of Adar II was $7 - 1 - 650$.

2. Calculation of the corrected *molad* = mean conjunction.

The number of elapsed years between the current year 5496 and $3411 = 2085 =$

109 * 19 + 14 years. The total correction is 1h 101 hal. The mean conjunction is thus 7-0-549 in Jerusalem and 6-22-464 in Hanover. The modern mean conjunction calculated with the Table of Meeus⁵¹ gives the mean conjunction at 16h 03m in Hanover, about 20 minutes before.

3. Calculation of M and M', the sun and moon's mean anomalies at the mean conjunction.

According to the procedure of Hanover, based on the fact that the *molad* of Adar II was preceded by 289 cycles of 19 years, 5 years and 6 months, we find $M = 60530$ and $M' = 37808$. As $360^\circ = 1,296,000''$ or $12960 (''/100)$ we subtract the greatest possible multiple of 12960 and find $M = +8690$ and $M' = +11888$.

4. The quota of the sun's anomaly.

The sun's mean anomaly is 8690. For $M = 8676$ the quota is +6563

For $M = 8712$ the quota is +6624

Difference of $M = 36$ and difference of the quota is 61.

Thus for $M = 8690$, the quota is $6563 + 61 * (14/36) = +6587$.

The angular velocity of the sun is 151''/h.

5. The quota of the moon's anomaly.

The moon's anomaly is 11888. For $M' = 11880$ the quota is +8588.

For $M' = 11916$ the quota is +8324.

Difference of $M' = 36$ and difference of the quota is 264.

Thus for $M' = 11888$ the quota is $+8588 - 264 * (14/36) = +8529$. The moon's velocity is 1832''/h. At the moment of the mean conjunction the mean sun and mean moon coincide; the true sun is ahead by 6587'' and the true moon is ahead by 8529''. The distance between true sun and true moon is $8529 - 6587 = 1942''$, the moon being ahead of the sun by 1942''. The velocity of the moon is about 13 times the sun's velocity. Therefore the coincidence of sun and moon occurs near the position of the true sun at the moment of the mean conjunction. The greatest part of the distance of 1942'' between sun and moon at the time of mean conjunction is covered by the moon. The mean anomaly of the moon at the time of mean conjunction is 11888 and the true anomaly of the moon

51 Meeus Jean, *Syzygies Tables*, Kessel-Lo 1963.

J. Jean Ajdler

at the same moment is $11888 + 85 = 11973$. The mean value of the moon's true anomaly during the time used by the true moon to cover the distance of $1942''$ is $11973 - (19.42/2) = 11963$. The mean anomaly at the same moment is $11963 - 85 = 11878$ and the corresponding velocity of the moon is 1832 .⁵² The relative velocity of the two bodies is $1832''/h - 151''/h = 1681''/h$. The true conjunction was $1942/1681 = 1.06 h = 63.6 m = 1h 6m 36s$ before mean conjunction, because at mean conjunction the true moon had already outrun the sun by $1942''$.

6. Calculation of the true conjunction.

The mean conjunction was at 7-0-549, the true conjunction was 0-1-168 before at 6-23-381 in Jerusalem or 6-21-296 in Hanover. According to the table of Meeus, we find a perfect coincidence: Friday, March 1, 15h 16m Hanover mean time.

7. Calculation of the following mean opposition, on Saturday, March 16, 1737.

We depart from the mean conjunction (corrected *molad*), to which we add 0-18-396, the modulo of 14-18-396⁵³ with regard to 7. The mean opposition was thus 7-18-945.

8. Calculation of M and M', the sun and moon's mean anomalies, at the moment of the mean opposition.

At the moment of the mean conjunction (*molad* corrected i.e. *molad* minus correction).

We have found $M = 8690$ and $M' = 11888$. We add the variation of the anomaly for a half month: $M = 8690 + 524 = 9214$ and $M' = 11888 + 6945 = 18853 = 18853 - 12960 = 5873$.

From Table 6 we find the quota of the sun's mean anomaly $C(M) = +7239$ and from Table 7 we find the quota of the moon's mean anomaly $C'(M') = -5503$. The distance between the two bodies is thus $7239 + 5503 = 12742$. The moon's

52 Thus this little correction replaces the moon's mean anomaly at the moment of mean conjunction of 11888 by the moon's mean anomaly at half of the covered distance of $1942''$ corresponding to the distance between mean conjunction and true conjunction. At this moment the mean anomaly is $11888 - 10 = 11878$. This allows calculating the moon's velocity with more precision.

53 The half of $29 - 12 = 17$, the length of one month.

mean anomaly at the half of the covered distance between the mean moon at mean opposition and true moon at mean opposition is $5873 - (127, 42/2) = 5873 - 64 = 5937$. The corresponding moon's velocity is $2150''/h$ while the sun's velocity is $150''/h$ and the relative velocity is $2000''/h$. The span of time, counted from the mean opposition allowing the moon to catch up to the sun is $12742/2000 = 6.37$ h or 6h 401 ch. The true opposition is then 7-18-945 + 0-6-401 = 1-1-266 in Jerusalem and 7-23-181 in Hanover corresponding to Sunday, March 17, 1737 at 17h 10m.

9. Calculation of the moon's argument of latitude at the moment of true conjunction in order to check the possibility of a solar eclipse.

At the beginning of Nissan 1737, 5496 years have elapsed from *Beharad*, corresponding to 289 cycles 5 years and six months. Twice the argument of latitude, at the moment of the mean conjunction, is found to be 25248 and the parity is 9. In order to calculate the argument of latitude at the true conjunction we apply the relation examined above:

$$2 * F(\text{true moon}) = 2 * F(\text{mean moon}) + 2 (\Lambda' - L') + 2 * \Delta F(\Delta t),$$
$$2F(\text{true moon}) = 25248 + 2 * 8529/100 + 2\Delta F(-1h 168ch)^{54} = 25248 + 171 - 46 = 25373 = 12413 \text{ after subtraction of } 12960. \text{ The figure of parity which was } 9 \text{ becomes } 10.$$

$2F = 12413$ means that the moon is near one of the nodes because $12960 - 12413 = 547 < 1150$. According to the rules given in Table 8, there is a solar eclipse at the moment of true conjunction and the sun is eclipsed in its upper part because the moon is north of the sun. Indeed the true conjunction occurred at 15h 16m in Hanover, and the solar eclipse was visible.

10. Calculation of the moon's argument of latitude at the moment of the true opposition in order to check the possibility of a lunar eclipse.

We depart from twice the argument of latitude at the mean conjunction, and add twice the argument of latitude for a half month, i.e. 1104. We obtain at the mean opposition:

$$2 * F = 25248 + 1104 = 26352, \text{ with a figure of parity equal to } 9 + 1 = 10. \text{ Now at the true opposition:}$$

$$2 * F(\text{true moon}) = 2 * F(\text{mean moon}) + 2 (\Lambda' - L') + 2 * \Delta F(\Delta t),$$
$$2 * F(\text{true moon}) = 26352 - (2 * 5503) / 100 + 2\Delta F(6h 401 ch) = 26352 - 110 +$$

54 Table 5.

$253 = 26495$. After subtraction of 25920, corresponding to twice 360° , we get 575 with a parity figure of $10 + 2 = 12$. As $380 < 575 < 864$ we have a partial lunar eclipse and since the figure of parity is even, the moon is north of the sun. Nevertheless this partial lunar eclipse of its inferior part happens around 17h 10m Hanover mean time and the eclipse could not be seen because the sun had not yet set at this hour, and the moon was not yet visible at this time.

11. Calculation of the mean equinox

Thanks to the *yitronot*, we calculate the distance of the mean equinox with regard to the mean conjunction or corrected *molad*. This *molad* was preceded by 289 cycles, 5 years and 6 months. We add to the radix 15-2-235, representing the delay of the autumnal mean equinox of *Beharad* with regard to the corrected *molad* of *Beharad*, the *yitronot* of the years and months which are longer than the lunar years, and this gives the first sum $+(45-9-14)$.⁵⁵ We add the *yitronot* of the different cycles, which are longer than the tropical years, and we get $-(24-12-743)$; this gives the second sum.⁵⁶ We subtract it from the first sum and get 20-20-351, representing the delay of the mean equinox with regard to the *molad* of the seventh month, in our case Adar II. The mean conjunction (corrected *molad*) of Adar II 5497 was 7-0-549 and the equinox was 27-20-900 or 6-20-900. It corresponds to Friday, March 22, 1737.

12. Calculation of the true equinox

The sun's mean anomaly at the moment of the mean conjunction of Adar II was 8690. We add to it the variation of the sun's anomaly during 20 days i.e. 710, during 20 hours, i.e. 30 and during 351 ch, i.e. ~ 0 , in total 740, the sun's mean anomaly at the moment of the mean equinox is then $8690 + 740 = 9430$. The corresponding sun's quota of the anomaly is +7373 and the angular velocity of the sun is 149. In other words, at the moment of the mean equinox, the distance between the true sun and the mean sun is 7373". The time necessary for the sun to cover this distance is $7373 / 149 = 49.4832 = 49\text{h } 522\text{ ch}$. At the moment of the mean equinox the true sun was in advance by 7373" with

55 These *yitronot* are related to the spans of times longer than the lunar months. This first sum, given in days, hours and halakim, represents the delay of the *tekufa* after the corrected *molad*.

56 These *yitronot* are related to the spans of time shorter than the lunar months. The second sum, given in days, hours and halakim, represents a span of time before the corrected *molad*.

respect to the mean sun, the true equinox thus preceded the mean equinox and was on $6-20-900 - 2-1-522 = 4-19-378$ in Jerusalem and $4-17-293$ in Hanover, corresponding to Wednesday, March 20, 1737, at 11h 16m or 10h 55m G.M.T.

13. Comparison with more precise data⁵⁷

If we compare the results of Hanover with the tables of Meeus, we get the following comparison.

At the mean conjunction: M (Hanover) = 8690 $M + 180^\circ$ (Meeus) = 8677

$$M' = 11888 \quad M' + 180^\circ = 11908$$

$$2F = 12288 \quad 2F = 2 * 170.89^\circ = 12304$$

indeed $1^\circ = 3600' = 36$.

Mean conjunction: 6-22-464 in Hanover or 16h 26m.

Meeus: Friday, March 1, 1737 at 16h 03m in Hanover.

True conjunction: Hanover: 6-21-296 in Hanover or 15h 16m.

Meeus: Friday, March 1, 1737 at 14h 57m in Hanover.

Mean equinox: Hanover: 6-20-900

True equinox: Hanover: 4-17-293 in Hanover or 11h 16m.

Meeus: Wednesday, March 20, 1737 at 14h 1m in Hanover.

Conclusions and Acknowledgements

The book *Luhot ha-Ibbur*, printed in 1756, was aimed at well-read Jewish people, who were not able to find and consult specialized books in German. It is even likely that a similar book did not exist in German. It was not common to find a book, based on astronomical and reliable data, that was written for laymen. This book can be compared to the "Syzygie Tables"⁵⁸ which allow the calculation of true conjunctions and oppositions, and check the occurrence of solar or lunar eclipses. All the books of Meeus depart from the same principle: writing astronomical books at a professional level, with numerical data adapted for practical use, aimed at laymen and lovers of astronomy. The *Luhot ha-Ibbur* were constructed on the basis of the Jewish calendar with remarkable precision, rigorous logic and order, justifying Hanover's reputation as an extraordinary skilled calculator. The only shortfall that could be suggested is the absence of explanations and justifications.

⁵⁷ According to contemporary data.

⁵⁸ Jean Meeus, 1963.

J. Jean Ajdler

The author was aware of the problem and he intended to write a third part to his book for that purpose. Nevertheless, the examples are very detailed and complete and allow readers to learn the calculation methods.

The tables of the solar and lunar mean movements are calculated with the highest precision. Nevertheless, the length of the moon's synodic lunation and the length of the tropical year are slightly different from modern values and less good than the data adopted during the same epoch by Tobias Mayer and Lalande.

Hanover's tables take into account a rough approximation of the equation of the centre for determining the true position of the sun, and only the equation of the centre and the evection for determining the true position of the moon.

In Table 6, relative to the sun, we observed the lack of precision of the quota of the anomaly (equation of the centre) which reaches a maximum of $2^{\circ}.06^{59}$ instead of $1^{\circ}; 59'$ adopted by Al-Battani⁶⁰ and the modern value of $1^{\circ}; 55'$ ⁶¹ adopted by Lalande.

In Table 7, relative to the moon, the moon's velocity in longitude is also unjustifiable. The mean velocity of $1966''/h$ is compromised between $1959.75''/h$ (the mean velocity of the moon's anomaly) and $1976.46''/h$ (the mean velocity of the moon's longitude). Similarly Hanover's minimum and maximum velocities cannot be justified.

59 See Table 6: 7426 for a solar anomaly of $3276 = 91^{\circ}$. This value is much too high; it is nearly the value of Ptolemy!

60 In about 980 CE. This value was adopted by Maimonides in *Hilkhoh Kiddush ha-Hodesh*.

61 Lalande gives $1^{\circ} 55' 31.6''$ and an eccentricity of 0.01680207 in his *Astronomy*, tome 2, n° 1266, Paris 1764, 1771 and 1791 (dates of the three editions).

APPENDIX

*The Timetable of Hanover (1766)*⁶²

This little document, on one sheet of paper, deserves much attention because it represents a real revolution in Jewish life regarding the calculation of *halakhic* times throughout the day, and more specifically the beginning and end times of the Sabbath. It is indeed the first printed document calculating these times on the basis of a fixed depression of the sun under the horizon throughout the year. The time is expressed in true time. The table was established for a latitude of 52.5° . The refraction adopted in the eighteenth century was $0^\circ; 32'$ ⁶³. The obliquity of the ecliptic was probably $23^\circ; 29'$.⁶⁴ Furthermore in the eighteenth century sunrise and sunset were moments when the apparent position of the centre of the sun is on the horizon, i.e. when the solar depression is $0^\circ; 32'$.⁶⁵ On this basis I have calculated that Hanover considered a solar depression of

- $8^\circ; 05'$ for the time “*mishe'yakir*” משיכיר, which he calls “*alot ha'shachar*.”
- $0^\circ; 32'$ for sunrise and sunset
- $7^\circ; 10'$ ⁶⁶ for “*tzet ha'kochavim*” (appearance of the stars)

This table was acclaimed by some rabbinical authorities of Western Europe. Rabbi Tsvi Hirsh Levin of Berlin (1721–1800) and his son Solomon Hirshel (1762–1842) used it to construct a more detailed liturgical horary based on the calculation of long temporary hours, which assumed that the religious day begins with a solar depression of $8^\circ; 05'$ and ends with a depression of $7^\circ; 10'$.⁶⁷ Rabbi Nathan Adler (1741–1800) used the table of Hanover and adapted it to his town of Frankfurt without taking into account the change of latitude. Rabbi

62 This table is reproduced on p. 525 of *Ha-Zemanin ba-Halakha*, P. Benish, 1996.

63 Instead of: $0^\circ; 34'$ today.

64 The more accurate value of $23^\circ; 28'$ determined by Bradley was not yet widely known.

65 The modern definition of sunrise and sunset is the apparent passage at the horizon of the upper limb of the sun. It corresponds to a solar depression of $0^\circ; 50'$. This definition is the same as the *halakhic* sunrise or sunset.

66 This value became the rule until the second half of the twentieth century (tables of Berthold Cohn, Calendar of Bloch) when more stringent customs imposed themselves: depression of 8 and even 8.5° .

67 The first page of this table is reproduced on p. 526 of *Ha-Zemanim ba-Halakha*, Benish 1996. This table presents a slight asymmetry with regard to noon. The principle of calculating the long temporary hours has evolved with time. The manuscript of these tables is in the Library of the Jewish Theological Seminary.

Moses Schreiber (1762–1839) received a copy of his teacher's table and used it in Mattersdorf and Presburg.⁶⁸

The principle adopted by Hanover to work on the basis of a constant solar depression in order to calculate the beginning and end *halakhic* times of each day, as well as the Sabbath, was slowly adopted in Eastern Europe during the nineteenth century; today it is an accepted fact.

The First Appearance of Any Given Molad

1. Since the completion of my article in *B.D.D.* 28, I edited Hanover's manuscripts and among them "Sefer Tekhumat Ha-Shamayim Ha-Arokh" – ספר תכונת השמים – הארוך – where I found at the end of that book that Hanover improved the procedure of finding the first appearance of a given *molad*. Instead of our modern formula, Hanover constructed two very convenient tables.

2. Already in the first half of the fourteenth century, Rabbi Isaac Israeli proposed a solution to this problem⁶⁹ but it was less elegant and more difficult. The solution was based on two tables: the first table, לוח ג', gives the *molad* of the first 1080 months of the Jewish era. The first *molad* of the table is 2–5–204 and the last *molad* is 3–6–204.

Indeed $[1080 * (1 - 12 - 793)]_{181440} = 27000 = 25920 + 1080 = 1d + 1h$.

Thus after 1080 months the *molad* is 1d 1h up.

The second table, לוח ד', gives the *molad* at the beginning of the first 168 cycles of 1080 months. After each cycle the *molad* is 1d 1h up. After 168 cycles the final *molad* is again the initial *molad*. Indeed $168 * (1d + 1h) = 175d = M7$.

3. Hanover's discovery of the integer 74377 was therefore not such an achievement. Hanover had the merit to determine after which number of months the *molad* 2–5–204 is 1 *helek* up and becomes 2–5–205. He probably used the method of Israeli.

In לוח ג' we find the *molad* ending with 205 *halakim*. This *molad* occurs after 937 months; it is 1–9–205. Indeed $[31524 + 937 * 39673]_{181440} = 9925 = 1 - 9 - 205$.

68 The adaptation of Hanover's table by these two rabbis, without taking into account the important changes of latitude, is notably the subject of a paper published by engineer Yaakov Loewinger of Tel Aviv in *ha-Maayan Teveth* 5772 (2012) n° 200, pp. 23-50 and entitled: על זמן בין השמשות, ועל מילה בשבת של תינוק הנולד סמוך לצאת השבת.

69 See *Yessod Olam, ma'amar* V, chap. 4 and at the end of the book לוח ג' ולוח ד'.

We must add 20h in order to find the *molad* 2–5–205.

In לוח ד' we see that after 68 cycles of 1080 months the initial *molad* is 20h up. Indeed

$[68 * (1d + 1h)]_{7d} = 20h$. Thus after $68 * 1080 + 937 = 74377$ months the initial *molad* 2 –5–204 became 2–5–205.

It appears that the finding of Hanover's number, using Israeli's algorithm did not present a major difficulty. Hanover's great originality was to look for the number of months after which the *molad* is 1 *helek* up, and then to propose a simple and elegant solution by constructing a table giving the number of months necessary to result in an increase of the *molad* by different multiples of 1 *helek*.

4. Recently while editing the present paper, I found at the end of Hanover's manuscript *Tekhumat ha-Shamayim ha-Arokh*,⁷⁰ the following three tables and an example, without any explanation or justification. The process is now easy to understand and the elegance and rapidity of the procedure are evident.

לוח המולדות

חודשים	חלקים	חודשים	חלקים
172060	תש	74377	א
170720	תת	148754	ב
169380	תתק	41691	ג
168040	תתר	116068	ד
154640	ב אלפים	9005	ה
141240	ג אלפים	83382	ו
127840	ד אלפים	157759	ז
114440	ה אלפים	50696	ח
101040	ו אלפים	125073	ט
87640	ז אלפים	18010	י
74240	ח אלפים	36020	כ

⁷⁰ See <http://www.ajdler.com/jjajdler/hanover/> pp. 134-136.

J. Jean Ajdler

60840	ט אלפים	54030	ל
47440	י אלפים	72040	מ
94880	כ אלפים	90050	נ
142320	ל אלפים	108060	ס
8320	מ אלפים	126070	ע
55760	נ אלפים	144080	פ
103200	ס אלפים	162090	צ
150640	ע אלפים	180100	ק
16640	פ אלפים	178760	ר
64080	צ אלפים	177420	ש
111520	ק אלפים	176080	ת
41600	ר אלפים	174740	תק
		173400	תר

לוח מספרי הגירעון
181440
362880
544320
725760
907200

מספר החודשים

מחזורים	חודשים	שנים	חודשים
א	235	א	12
ב	470	ב	24
ג	705	ג	37
ד	940	ד	49
ה	1175	ה	61
ו	1410	ו	74
ז	1645	ז	86
ח	1880	ח	99
ט	2115	ט	111
י	2350	י	123
כ	4700	י"א	136
ל	7050	י"ב	148
מ	9400	י"ג	160
נ	11750	י"ד	173
ס	14100	ט"ו	185
ע	16450	ט"ז	197
פ	18800	י"ז	210
צ	21150	י"ח	222
ק	23500		
ר	47000		
ש	70500		
ת	94000		
תק	117500		
תר	141000		
תש	164500		

אם תרצה לידע באיזה חודש או שנה או מחזור יהיה או היה מולד הנתון?
 תעשה כך: מן מולד שבידך תגרע ב ה ר"ד והנשאר תעשה לחלקים. וקח מלוח
 העליון - בעמוד הקודם - מספר החדשים העומדים לנגד החלקים שבידך ותחברם
 יחד ומהכלל תגרע מלוח הגירעון המספר שאתה יכול לגרוע ועם הנשאר לך אל לוח
 [התחתון] - בעמוד זה - וקח המחזוריים והשנים [והחודשים העומדים] נגד המספר
 הנשאר וליוצא השנה והחודש שבו יהיה או היה מולד הנתון.
 כגון שתמצא לידע באיזה שנה ובאיזה חודש יהי או יהיה מולד ד - י"ט - פ"ו ?
 תגרע ב - ה - ר"ד ונשאר ב - י"ג - תתקס"ב. תעשה לחלקים ויוצא 66842. וקח
 מלוח העליון המספרים העומדים ויהיה מולד הנתון מחודש [ניסן אחר] שעברו ר"ח
 מחזוריים ט"ז שנים וז' חודשים ר"ל חודש ניסן משנת [4159].⁷¹

51434	ולך אל לוח התחתון	103200	60000
47000	ותגרע ל-ר' מחזוריים	101040	6000
4434	נשאר	170720	800
2350	ל-י' מחזוריים	72040	40
2084	נשאר	148754	2
1880	ל-ח' מחזוריים	595754	66842 סך הכל
204	נשאר	544320	תגרע מלוח הגרעונים
197	ל-ט"ז שנים	51434	ונשאר
7	ונשאר		

We would like to know when the *molad* (4) - 19 - 86 occurred for the first time.

$$(4) - 19 - 86 - (2) - 5 - 204 = 2 - 13 - 962 = 66842 \text{ hal.}$$

From the first table we deduce that this happened after 595754 months. But we know that the *molad* remains the same after a multiple of 181440 months. This *molad* was thus already reached after 51434 months. From the third table we deduce that 51434 months correspond to 200 cycles + 10 cycles + 8 cycles + 16 years + 7 months. The 17th year is a leap year and it leads us to Nissan 4159.

71 This year is a leap year and the eighth month is Nissan.